



LSAT-TEST^{Q&As}

Law School Admission Test: Logical Reasoning, Reading Comprehension, Analytical Reasoning

Pass LAST LSAT-TEST Exam with 100% Guarantee

Free Download Real Questions & Answers **PDF** and **VCE** file from:

<https://www.passapply.com/lSAT-test.html>

100% Passing Guarantee
100% Money Back Assurance

Following Questions and Answers are all new published by LAST
Official Exam Center

-  **Instant Download** After Purchase
-  **100% Money Back** Guarantee
-  **365 Days** Free Update
-  **800,000+** Satisfied Customers





QUESTION 1

The symptoms of hepatitis A appear no earlier than 60 days after a person has been infected. In a test of a hepatitis A vaccine, 50 people received the vaccine and 50 people received a harmless placebo. Although some people from each group eventually exhibited symptoms of hepatitis A, the vaccine as used in the test is completely effective in preventing infection with the hepatitis A virus. Which one of the following, if true, most helps resolve the apparent discrepancy in the information above?

- A. The placebo did not produce any side effects that resembled any of the symptoms of hepatitis A.
- B. More members of the group that had received the placebo recognized their symptoms as symptoms of hepatitis A than did members of the group that had received the vaccine.
- C. The people who received the placebo were in better overall physical condition than were the people who received the vaccine.
- D. The vaccinated people who exhibited symptoms of hepatitis A were infected with the hepatitis A virus before being vaccinated.
- E. Of the people who developed symptoms of hepatitis A, those who received the vaccine recovered more quickly, on average, than those who did not.

Correct Answer: D

For Q. 10 we need to resolve the apparent discrepancy. Remember, the first step in this question type is to make sure you understand, in your own words, the nature of the discrepancy before moving on to the answer choices. In this case, the discrepancy is signaled by the Keyword "although" in the last sentence. The paradox is that even though the vaccine used in this test is completely effective in preventing hepatitis, members of both groups exhibited symptoms of hepatitis A. Now, it's not surprising that members of the placebo group may have contracted the disease; after all, they weren't vaccinated. But how could the members of the group that received the vaccine exhibit symptoms of hepatitis if we're told the vaccine is completely effective in preventing it? Try to answer that yourself, and then look to the answer choices for a similar explanation. Option [The vaccinated people who...] is correct because it gives a perfectly logical explanation: the people who exhibited symptoms contracted hepatitis before they were vaccinated. Remember, the stimulus tells us that the symptoms appear no earlier than 60 days after a person has been infected. Therefore, if a person were infected on day 1, and received the vaccine on day 10, we would expect that person to exhibit symptoms sometime after he or she was vaccinated.

QUESTION 2

In a class, six lectures are scheduled in a day on six different subjects – Physics, Chemistry, History, Language, Mathematics and Geography, not necessarily in this order. The following information is known regarding the schedule: The lecture on Chemistry is scheduled after the lecture on History The lecture on Geography is scheduled after the lecture on Language The lecture on Language is scheduled three slots after the lecture on Physics The lecture on History is either scheduled on the first or the third slot

Which of the following lectures can be scheduled on the third, fourth and fifth slots?

- A. Physics
- B. Chemistry
- C. Language



D. Mathematics

E. Geography

Correct Answer: B

Explanation: Looking at all possible scenarios discussed below, we find that Chemistry can be 3rd or 4th (Case I) or 5th (Case II, Scenario A).

General

Let us denote the six slots using the numbers 1 through 6 as shown below:

| Slot | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Subject | | | | | | |

We need to fill in the names of the subjects in each slot depending on the information provided.

Let us name the subjects Physics, Chemistry, History, Language, Mathematics and Geography as P, C, H, L, M and G.

Let us look at the information given in the question stem:

1.

The lecture on Chemistry is scheduled after the lecture on History:

The "..." above implies that there could be none or at least 1 subject between H and C.

2.

The lecture on Geography is scheduled after the lecture on Language:

3.

The lecture on Language is scheduled three slots after the lecture on Physics:

Thus, from 2 and 3 above:

4.

The lecture on History is either scheduled on the first or the third slot:

| | | |
|---|-----|---|
| H | ... | C |
|---|-----|---|

| | | |
|---|-----|---|
| L | ... | G |
|---|-----|---|



| | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| P | | | L |
|---|--|--|---|

| | | | | | |
|---|-----|--|---|-----|---|
| P | ... | | L | ... | G |
|---|-----|--|---|-----|---|

| Slot | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---------|----|---|----|---|---|---|
| Subject | H? | | H? | | | |

Let us look at possible scenarios from the above conditions: Case I: H is in the 1st slot:

| Slot | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---------|---|---|-----|-----|---|---|
| Subject | H | P | C/M | M/C | L | G |

Note: If H is 1st, then P must come 2nd and L must be after two slots, i.e., at slot 5th, else G cannot be accommodated after L.

Case II: H is in the 3rd slot: Scenario A: P is in the 1st slot: Note: C and G must follow H and L respectively, so these can be in the 5th or 6th slots. Thus, M must be in the 2nd slot.

| Slot | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---------|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|
| Subject | P | M | H | L | C/G | G/C |

Scenario B: P is in the 2nd slot:

| Slot | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Subject | M | P | H | C | L | D |

Note: If P is 2nd, L must be 5th; hence, G must be 6th. Also, since C comes after H, C must be 4th; hence, M must be 1st.

Thus, we have listed all the possible scenarios above.

QUESTION 3

It is wrong to waste our natural resources, and it is an incredible waste of resources to burn huge amounts of trash in incinerators. When trash is recycled, fewer resources are wasted. Because less trash will be recycled if an incinerator is built, the city should not build an incinerator.

Which one of the following can be properly inferred from the statements above?

A. All of the city's trash that is not recycled goes into incinerators.



- B. By recycling more trash, the city can stop wasting resources entirely.
- C. The most effective way to conserve resources is to recycle trash.
- D. If the city is to avoid wasting resources, huge amounts of trash cannot be burned in any city incinerator.
- E. If the city does not burn trash, it will not waste resources.

Correct Answer: D

Another Inference question, so our job is to interpret and combine statements. A few facts, pretty basic stuff: Wasting natural resources is wrong; burning lots of trash in incinerators wastes resources. We can combine these to infer that burning lots of trash in incinerators is wrong, but unfortunately that's not among the choices. However, the correct inference is nothing more than a simple interpretation of the latter statement: If "it is an incredible waste of resources to burn huge amounts of trash in incinerators," then it makes perfect sense that if it wishes to avoid wasting resources, the city can't incinerate huge amounts of trash.

QUESTION 4

A college dean will present seven awards for outstanding language research. The awards -- one for French, one for German, one for Hebrew, one for Japanese, one for Korean, one for Latin, and one for Swahili -- must be presented consecutively, one at a time, in conformity with the following constraints:

The German award is not presented first.

The Hebrew award is presented at some time before the Korean award is presented.

The Latin award is presented at some time before the Japanese award is presented.

The French award is presented either immediately before or immediately after the Hebrew award is presented.

The Korean award is presented either immediately before or immediately after the Latin award is presented.

Which one of the following must be true?

- A. The French award is presented at some time before the Japanese award is presented.
- B. The French award is presented at some time before the Swahili award is presented.
- C. The German award is presented at some time before the Korean award is presented.
- D. The German award is presented at some time before the Swahili award is presented.
- E. The Swahili award is presented at some time before the Hebrew award is presented

Correct Answer: A

To begin the question set, we're asked for something that must always be true. Without an "if/then" clause, there's nothing for us to do but check the choices.



QUESTION 5

Mr. Miko is very good at the sport of Judo. Therefore, Mr. Miko is a good sport.

The author of the argument above relies upon which one of the following to arrive at a conclusion?

- A. purposely overestimating Mr. Miko's ability in Judo.
- B. generalizing from a particular example.
- C. establishing a specific case based upon a general occurrence.
- D. establishing a casual relationship.
- E. assuming a similarity of meaning between "good at a sport" and "good sport"

Correct Answer: E

The author of this argument does not see the difference between "good at a sport" and "good sport"

[Latest LSAT-TEST Dumps](#)

[LSAT-TEST PDF Dumps](#)

[LSAT-TEST Exam Questions](#)